REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-5 are pending in the application; reexamination and reconsideration are hereby requested.

1. The drawings were objected to for missing labels and captions.

Replacement sheets of drawings are enclosed in the Appendix. Further, the specification has been amended in various places to clarify what the drawings illustrate.

2. The specification was objected to for informalities.

The specification has been amended.

3. Claims 1-5 were rejected as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Examiner cited the interpolation of claim 1.

Applicant replies that the claim 1 interpolation is not the CFA interpolation, but rather, the interpolation of two frames in line 8 of application page 9.

4. Claims 1-2 were rejected as anticipated by Belykh. The Examiner gave the interpolation in step (c) of claim 1 no patentable weight due to an asserted lack of support in the specification.

Applicant replies that application page 9, line 8 illustrates linear interpolation; namely, $(1-i)\,x(n) + (i)\,y(n)$. And Belykh has no suggestion of the claimed interpolation.

5. Claims 3 and 5 were rejected as unpatentable over Belykh in view of Edgar.

Applicant relies upon the patentability of base claim 1.

Respectfully submitted,

/Carlton H. Hoel/

Carlton H. Hoel
Reg. No. 29,934
Texas Instruments Incorporated
PO Box 655474, M/S 3999
Dallas, Texas 75265
972.917.4365